Title The Im Thong Samong Sai Saijai Witi Porpiang Project according to

the Civilized Agriculture by Applying the CIPPIEST model

**Authors** Pongpat Sirito

Affiliation Srisomdet Pimpattanawittaya School Roi Et Secondary Education

Service Area Office

Evaluation year 2021

## Abstract

This study aims to assess the operating conditions of the Im Thong Samong Sai Saijai Witi Porpiang project according to the civilized agriculture of Srisomdet Pimpattanawittaya School Roi Et Secondary Education Service Area Office by applying the CIPPIEST model. The CIPP model was used for project evaluation based on the concept of Stufflebeam, which aims to assess in four components: (1) Context Evaluation (2) Input Evaluation (3) Project Evaluation (4) Product Evaluation. The population group consisted of 865 people, namely administrators, teachers, basic education committees and students. The reporter arranged for the presentation of the results of the data analysis from two questionnaires. The data were analyzed using population mean  $(\mu)$  and population standard  $(\sigma)$ .

The research results indicated that:

- 1. Overall assessment result of the environmental assessment of the Im Thong Samong Sai Saijai Witi Porpiang project according to the civilized agriculture by applying the CIPPIEST model was at a high level ( $\mu$  =4.29,  $\sigma$  = 0.62).
- 2. Overall assessment result of input factors of the Im Thong Samong Sai Saijai Witi Porpiang project according to the civilized agriculture by applying the CIPPIEST model was at a high level ( $\mu$  =4.34,  $\sigma$  = 0.89).

- 3. Overall assessment result of the assessment process of the Im Thong Samong Sai Saijai Witi Porpiang project according to the civilized agriculture by applying the overall CIPPIEST model was at a high level ( $\mu$  =4.21,  $\sigma$  = 0.91).
- 4. The results of product evaluation of the assessment of the Im Thong Samong Sai Saijai Witi Porpiang project according to the civilized agriculture by applying the 4 CIPPIEST model, namely impact assessment, efficacy evaluation, sustainability assessment evaluation of the transmission and forwarding as follows:
- 4.1 Opinions of administrators and teachers. Overall, it was at the highest level ( $\mu$  =4.51,  $\sigma$  = 0.83).
- 4.2 Student opinions. Overall, it was at a very high level ( $\mu$  =4.48,  $\sigma$  = 1.37).
- 4.3 Opinions of the Board of Basic Education Institutions. Overall, it was at the highest level ( $\mu$  =4.54,  $\sigma$  = 0.84).